Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/536,899	BESWICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EMILY BERNHARDT	1624
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>EMILY BERNHARDT</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ms. Wolffe.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 April 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 28		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Emily Bernhardt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initially left Ms. Wolffe a message that claim 28 was an improper multiple dependent claim and thus needs to be completely rewritten. Ms. Wolffe indicated on 4/27 that a supplemental AF response will be filed presenting a new claim and cancelling claim 28. On 4/30 the examiner left a message that some typos were noted in new claim 29, namely an "is" is needed on p.6 after "group which" and on p.7 after "Y" "-NRA" needs to be inserted consistent with remaining claims. Such will be done via an Examiner's Amendment.